

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/473,598	12/29/1999	RAYMOND C. EDMONDS	042390.P7353	1187
75	90 03/22/2002			
JOHN F TRAVIS BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WIKLSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTUELOOR			EXAMINER	
			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS L	
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1026		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2674		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

p

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/473,598 Applicerit(s)

Raymond C. Edmonds

Examiner

Abbas Abdulselam

Group Art Unit 2674



111	IE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
•	a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) Expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
į	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	pplicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 12, 2002</u> has been considered with the following effect, t is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X will not be entered because:
	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
•	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: The newly amended claims which now differ in scope require further search/consideration.
•	
	A sufficiently account to the second of the falls of the second of the s
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:
,	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-5, 8-13, 15-22, and 24-27
,	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-5, 8-13, 15-22, and 24-27  The proposed drawing correction filed on
,	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-5, 8-13, 15-22, and 24-27  The proposed drawing correction filed on has
,	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-5, 8-13, 15-22, and 24-27  The proposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  RICHARD HJERFE
,	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed:  Claims objected to:  Claims rejected: 1-5, 8-13, 15-22, and 24-27  The proposed drawing correction filed on has